WETLANDS RESERVE PROGRAM (WRP) EASEMENT PROJECTS RANKING FORM

November 2006

Αp	pplicant				
Co	ounty	Tract No	Easeme		
				30-Year	
EN	NVIRONMENTAL CRI	TERIA			TOTALS
I.	Location				
	A. Proximity to Prot	ected Wildlife Areas			
	1. Project is within	I mile of an existing WR	P easement,		
	National Wildlife	e Refuge, Lands owned b	by the		
		me Commission, or simi	larly protected		
	wildlife areas			20 points	
		2 miles of an existing WI			
		e Refuge, Lands owned b	•	1	
	wildlife areas	me Commission, or simi	rarry protected	10 points	
	wilding areas			10 points	
	B. Proximity to Ripa	rian Area			
	1. Adjoining			5 points	
	2. Less than or equal	to 500 feet		3 points	
	Riparian Easement N	Multiplier – Use only if th	ne WRP		
	Easement includes the	± •			
	poi	nts x 2	=		
II.	Size of Contiguous	Easement			
11.	(round to whole				
	A. Greater than or e	qual to 30 acres		10 points	
	B. Between 25 and 2	29 acres		6 points	
	C. Between 20 and 2	24 acres		4 points	
	D. Between 10 and	19 acres		2 points	
	Add 1 point for ever	•			
	- maximum is 20 add	litional points	_	points	

III. Hydrology

A. Hydric Soil Area to be Restored (% of hydric soil					
acreage that will not have <u>hydrology</u> restored)					
1. 80 – 100%	10 points				
2. 60 – 79%	6 points				
3. 40 – 59%	2 points				
NOTES: Consider only hydric soil within easement					
Include any recent wetland restoration,					
but no existing natural wetlands.					
B. Average Slope for the Restoration Area					
1. Less than or equal to 1.5%	10 points				
2. Between 1.6 and 2.5%	6 points				
3. Between 2.6 and 3.0%	2 points				
NOTE: Projects should not exceed 4%					
C. Saturated Soils Above Water Line Elevation					
(Surface Area <1 ft. elev. above planned permanent wa	ater line, as % of				
Permanent Pool Area)					
1. Greater than or equal to 200% (2:1)	10 points				
2. Greater than or equal to 100% (1:1)	6 points				
3. Greater than or equal to 50% (0.5:1)	2 points				
D. Source of Hydrology – Perennial Flow					
1. Less than 25% of water from perennial flow	6 points				
2. Greater than 25% and less than or equal to	<u> </u>				
50% of water from perennial flow	4 points				
3. Greater than 50% of water from perennial flow	2 points				
Cumfo on Water Multiplion					
Surface Water Multiplier Less than 10:1 Watershed Area to Pool Area					
Points x 3	=				
Greater than or equal to 10:1 Watershed Area to P					
Points x 1	=				
Greater than or equal to 20:1 Watershed Area to I	Pool Area				
Points x 0.5	=				
IV. Buffer Included in Easement					
(upland or existing natural wetland)					
A. Surrounds hydric soil area (95 – 100%)	5 points				
B. 75 – 94% of hydric soil perimeter	4 points				
C. 50 – 74% of hydric soil perimeter	3 points				
D. 25 – 49% of hydric soil perimeter	2 points				

	NOTE: Consider only hydric	soil perimeters	s within ease	ment	
	Buffer Width (average) Mult	iplier			
	100 feet or more	points	x 3	=	
	50 feet to 99 feet	points		=	
v	vegetated Wetlands Expe	cted in 10 V	parc		
v . v	A. Greater than or equal to 2				
	(tree species)	070 Tolested We	ciarias	5 points	
	B. Greater than or equal to 20	0% shrub wetla	nds	5 points	
	C. Less than 20% open water			5 points	
	NOTE: Include points for each			1	
ECON	OMIC CRITERIA				TOTALS
VI.	Restoration Cost				
(div	vide restoration cost by total ea	sement area)			
`	A. Less than \$500 per acre	,		10 points	
	B. \$500 – 749 per acre			6 points	
	C. \$750 – 999 per acre			4 points	
	D. \$1000 – 1250 per acre			2 points	
NOTE	E: Projects should not exceed \$	2000 per acre			
VII. I	Landowner Contribution	s (including	other non	-Federal Sou	rces)
,,	A. Easement Payment Reduct	_			
	USDA Payment Reduced	•	F/	20 points	
	2. USDA Payment Reduced	• —		15 points	
	3. USDA Payment Reduced	<u> </u>		10 points	
	B. Restoration				
	1. Greater than or equal to 40)%		10 points	
	2. Greater than or equal to 25			6 points	
	3. Greater than or equal to 10)%		2 points	
				TOTAL SCO	ORE
	ER CRITERIA (check off)	al Diabes (saut	المنام الممالية	l d a w	
1	Endangered Species Co	ai Rights (cont	rolled by bid	ider)	
SIGN	ATURES				
	District Conser	vationist			
	FWS (or Repre	esentative) if av	vailable		

INSTRUCTONS FOR WRP

EASEMENT PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA November 2006

<u>Applicant:</u> The person or corporation who controls the land.

<u>Tract No.:</u> Only if FSA already has assigned a tract number. Persons enrolled in WRP will be required to comply with Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) and Food Security Act requirements.

Easement: Check one, Permanent or 30 – easement.

I. Location

A. <u>Proximity to Protected Wildlife Lands</u>: On location map, measure from edge of proposed WRP boundary to the boundary of the wildlife protected area.

Maximum score – 20 points

B. <u>Proximity to Riparian Area:</u> Measure from the edge of the proposed WRP easement boundary nearest to the stream to the edge of the natural riparian vegetation, or the edge of the stream if the riparian area is in agricultural use (pasture or cropland).

Multiplier: Certain Riparian Areas are eligible for WRP easements, these riparian areas may be up to 300 feet wide on each side of the stream (measured from the stream edge). In these instances the entire WRP easement will usually be contiguous (score of 5 points above).

Maximum score – 10 points

II. <u>Size of Contiguous Easement</u>: It is assumed there is only one easement area per tract (including buffers and riparian areas). If there are more than one, <u>complete only one form per tract</u> – list the actual acreage for each easement area in the margin and do not assign points for this criteria. The State Office will review and assign points.

Maximum score – 30 points

III. Hydrology

A. <u>Hydric Soil Area to be restored</u>: Evaluate only hydric soil within the proposed easement. Estimate the percentage of the hydric soil <u>acreage</u> that has hydrology or will have hydrology restored.

Maximum score – 10 points

B. <u>Average Slope for Restoration Area:</u> Projects on flatter slopes are more cost effective to restore.

Maximum Score – 10 points

C. <u>Saturated Soils above Water Line Elevation</u>: Projects that are on flatter slopes with fine textured soils will have a larger fringe of saturated soils. Estimate the land area that will be between the waters edge and up to one foot in elevation above the permanent pool. This fringe area is then compared to the proposed pool surface area (percent or ratio).

Maximum Score – 10 points

D. <u>Source of Hydrology</u>: Fluctuating hydrology provides more ecological diversity. Therefore, wetlands dominated by perennial (365 days a year) springs or streams, and/or large contributing watersheds (Multiplier) will be scored lower.

Maximum score – 18 points

IV. <u>Buffer Included in Easement:</u> Assign points only if uplands, existing wetlands, or riparian areas are included in addition to the degraded (or recently restored) hydric soils that make the site eligible for WRP. Consider only the perimeters of hydric soil areas that are within the proposed easement (ignore any portion of a hydric soil area that extends beyond the proposed easement). When there are more than one hydric soil areas within the easement, estimate the overall average perimeter that has a buffer.

<u>Multiplier:</u> Estimate the overall average width of the buffer area. Do no use the multiplier if the average is less than 50 feet.

Maximum score – 15 points

V. <u>Vegetated Wetlands Expected in 10 Years</u>: These are bonus points to be assigned if the landowner is interested in managing for these habitats and NRCS and FWS personnel are confident trees and/or shrubs will become established. Also, sites with a minimum of open water are more likely to develop woody habitat.

Maximum score – 15 points

VI. <u>Restoration Cost:</u> Use the total estimated restoration cost. Divide this cost by the entire proposed easement acreage.

Maximum score – 10 points

VII. Landowner Contributions

A. Easement Payment: LANDOWNERS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO 'BID'. If other Non-

Federal funds are provided from outside sources they may be credited to the landowner contribution. Use the county average farm values obtained from the National Agricultural Statistics Service. Contact Easement Program Manager for questions about specific county values.

NOTE: The Maximum Easement Values for 30-year easements are 25% less than for permanent easements. Landowners choosing 30-year easements are not credited for that first 25% reduction in USDA easement payment.

If the landowner wants a certain dollar amount per acre, divide that amount by the weighted average (weighted by acreage) of the USDA easement payment – this will convert the bid to percent.

Maximum score – 20 points

B. <u>Restoration</u>: If other Non-Federal funds are provided from outside sources they may be credited to the landowner contribution. Landowners with permanent easements are not required to contribute to restoration costs. Landowners choosing 30-year easements are required to contribute 25% of the restoration costs and are not assigned points for the first 25% contribution.

Maximum score – 10 points

OTHER CRITERIA: These will not be considered without documentation.

Endangered Species covers all Species of Special Concern, whether federal or state. Enrollees who do not control Coal Rights underlying the easement area will receive a lower priority.

<u>SIGNATURES</u>: NRCS, and a representative of the FWS if available, must be present on-site to complete this form. The District Conservationist must sign for NRCS.